MILD THERAPEUTIC HYPOTHERMIA TO IMPROVE THE NEUROLOGIC OUTCOME AFTER CARDIAC ARREST

THE HYPOTERMIA AFTER CARDIAC ARREST STUDY GROUP*

ABSTRACT

Background Cardiac arrest with widespread cerebral ischemia frequently leads to severe neurologic impairment. We studied whether mild systemic hypothermia increases the rate of neurologic recovery after resuscitation from cardiac arrest due to ventricular fibrillation.

Methods In this multicenter trial with blinded assessment of the outcome, patients who had been resuscitated after cardiac arrest due to ventricular fibrillation were randomly assigned to undergo therapeutic hypothermia (target temperature, 32°C to 34°C, measured in the bladder) over a period of 24 hours or to receive standard treatment with normothermia. The primary end point was a favorable neurologic outcome within six months after cardiac arrest; secondary end points were mortality within six months and the rate of complications within seven days.

Results Seventy-five of the 136 patients in the hypothermia group for whom data were available (55 percent) had a favorable neurologic outcome (cerebral performance category, 1 [good recovery] or 2 [moderate disability]), as compared with 54 of 137 (39 percent) in the normothermia group (risk ratio, 1.40; 95 percent confidence interval, 1.08 to 1.81). Mortality at six months was 41 percent in the hypothermia group (56 of 137 patients died), as compared with 55 percent in the normothermia group (76 of 138 patients; risk ratio, 0.74; 95 percent confidence interval, 0.58 to 0.95). The complication rate did not differ significantly between the two groups.

Conclusions In patients who have been successfully resuscitated after cardiac arrest due to ventricular fibrillation, therapeutic mild hypothermia increased the rate of a favorable neurologic outcome and reduced mortality. (N Engl J Med 2002;346:549-56.)
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was a favorable neurologic outcome within six months after cardiac arrest. Secondary end points were mortality at six months and the incidence of complications during the first seven days. Nine centers in five European countries participated in the study.

METHODS

Patients

Patients seen consecutively in the emergency department in whom spontaneous circulation had been restored after cardiac arrest were eligible for the study. The criteria for inclusion were a witnessed cardiac arrest, ventricular fibrillation or nonperfusing ventricular tachycardia as the initial cardiac rhythm, a presumed cardiac origin of the arrest, an age of 18 to 75 years, an estimated interval of 5 to 15 minutes from the patient's collapse to the first attempt at resuscitation by emergency medical personnel, and an interval of no more than 60 minutes from collapse to restoration of spontaneous circulation.

Patients were excluded if they met any of the following criteria: a tympanic-membrane temperature below 30°C on admission, a comatose state before the cardiac arrest due to the administration of drugs that depress the central nervous system, pregnancy, response to verbal commands after the return of spontaneous circulation and before randomization, evidence of hypotension (mean arterial pressure, less than 60 mm Hg) for more than 30 minutes after the return of spontaneous circulation and before randomization, evidence of hypoxemia (arterial oxygen saturation, less than 85 percent) for more than 15 minutes after the return of spontaneous circulation and before randomization, a terminal illness that preceded the arrest, factors that made participation in follow-up unlikely, enrollment in another study, the occurrence of cardiac arrest after the arrival of emergency medical personnel, or a known preexisting coagulopathy.

Study Design

The study was designed as a randomized, controlled trial with blinded assessment of the outcome. The protocol and consent procedure were approved by the institutional review board of each participating center. For all patients, the requirement of informed consent was waived in accordance with the ethical standards of the local institutional review board and the guidelines for good clinical practice of the European Agency for the Evaluation of Medicinal Products. The patient's family was informed about the trial, and the protocol specified that if there were any objections, the patient would be withdrawn from the study. However, there were no objections.

Treatment assignments were randomly generated by computer in blocks of 10, with stratification according to center. Sealed envelopes containing the treatment assignments were provided by the biostatistics center. Immediately after a patient had been enrolled, an envelope was opened, and the patient was assigned to the specified group.

Personnel involved in the care of patients during the first 48 hours after cardiac arrest could not be blinded with respect to treatment assignments. However, the physicians responsible for assessing the neurologic outcome within the first six months after the arrest were unaware of the treatment assignments.

Treatment

All patients received standard intensive care according to a detailed protocol. Sedation was induced by the intravenous administration of midazolam (0.125 mg per kilogram of body weight per hour initially) and fentanyl (0.002 mg per kilogram per hour initially), and the doses were adjusted as needed for 32 hours for the management of mechanical ventilation. To prevent shivering, paralysis was induced by the intravenous administration of pancuronium (0.1 mg per kilogram) every 2 hours for a total of 32 hours. Intracranial pressure was not monitored.

The temperature on admission was measured with an infrared tympanic thermometer (OtoTemp LighTouch, Exergen, Watertown, Mass.). Further temperature measurements were made with a bladder-temperature probe (Foley catheter). Patients randomly assigned to the normothermia group were placed on a conventional hospital bed, and normothermia was maintained. Those randomly assigned to the hypothermia group were cooled to a target temperature of 32°C to 34°C with the use of an external cooling device (TheraKool, Kinetic Concepts, Wareham, United Kingdom). This device consists of a mattress with a cover that delivers cold air over the entire body. The goal was to reach the target bladder temperature within four hours after the return of spontaneous circulation. If this goal was not achieved, ice packs were applied. The temperature was maintained at 32°C to 34°C for 24 hours from the start of cooling, followed by passive rewarming, which we expected would occur over a period of 8 hours.

Data Collection

Data on cardiac arrest for individual patients were recorded in the Utstein style. Laboratory tests were performed at base line, 12 and 48 hours after cardiac arrest, and as clinically indicated. Risk factors for an unfavorable outcome (hypotension or a nonfatal cardiac arrest after resuscitation) were documented.

Outcome

The primary outcome was a favorable neurologic outcome within six months, defined as a Pittsburgh cerebral-performance category of 1 (good recovery) or 2 (moderate disability) on a five-category scale; the other categories were 3 (severe disability), 4 (a vegetative state), and 5 (death). The neurologic outcome was determined without knowledge of the patient's treatment assignment. Patients with good recovery or moderate disability had sufficient cerebral function to live independently and work at least part-time.

Secondary end points were overall mortality at six months and the rate of complications during the first seven days after cardiac arrest. Bleeding of any severity, pneumonia, sepsis, pancreatitis, renal failure, pulmonary edema, seizures, arrhythmias, and pressure sores were recorded. Since an individual patient might have more than one complication at a time, the occurrence of at least one complication of any kind per patient was also documented.

Statistical Analysis

Continuous variables, which were not normally distributed, are reported as medians and interquartile ranges. Categorical variables are reported as counts and percentages. Primary and secondary outcomes were binary, and the chi-square test or Fisher's exact test, as appropriate, was used to compare outcomes in the hypothermia and normothermia groups. Trends across subgroups were measured with an extension of the Wilcoxon rank-sum test. The difference in risk between the two groups, with the corresponding 95 percent confidence interval, was calculated as a measure of the absolute risk, which was then used to calculate the number needed to treat. Risk ratios are reported as a measure of relative risk.

We used a multivariate logistic-regression model to determine whether the association between the intervention and the primary and secondary outcomes (neurologic recovery and mortality) was confounded by base-line differences between the study groups. All the covariables listed in Table 1 were entered into the model, except for the dose of epinephrine, which was excluded because of collinearity with the interval from the patient's collapse to the restoration of spontaneous circulation. We converted odds ratios to risk ratios using the following formula:
risk ratio = odds ratio ÷ (1 – incidence in normothermia group) + incidence in normothermia group × odds ratio).

Confounding can be assumed if the crude risk ratio differs from the adjusted risk ratio. Goodness of fit was assessed with the Hosmer–Lemeshow chi-square test. A reasonable fit can be assumed if the result is not significant at the 5 percent level. Analysis was carried out according to the intention-to-treat principle. Stata software (version 7, Stata, College Station, Tex.) was used to analyze the data.

**RESULTS**

The study was carried out between March 1996 and January 2001. Since the enrollment rate was lower than expected and funding had ended by July 2000, enrollment was stopped at this date.

A total of 3551 patients were assessed for eligibility; 3246 of these patients did not meet the inclusion criteria, and 30 were not included because of logistic problems. Thus, 275 patients were enrolled, with 137 patients randomly assigned to the hypothermia group and 138 to the normothermia group (i.e., the group that received standard care after resuscitation). Hypothermia was discontinued early in 14 patients for the following reasons: death (6 patients), arrhythmia and hemodynamic instability (3), technical problems with the cooling device (2), liver rupture (1), previous random assignment to the hypothermia group (1), and an error in the duration of cooling (1). All randomized patients were included in the analysis of mortality. One patient in each group was lost to follow-up for neurologic status.

At base line, the patients in the two groups were generally similar, although the patients in the normothermia group were more likely to have a history of diabetes mellitus or coronary heart disease and to have received basic life support from a bystander than those in the hypothermia group. These differences appear to have been due to random variation (Table 1).

---

**Table 1. Base-Line Characteristics of the Patients.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Normothermia (N=138)</th>
<th>Hypothermia (N=137)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age — yr</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interquartile range</td>
<td>49–67</td>
<td>51–69*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female sex — no./total no. (%)</td>
<td>32/138 (23)</td>
<td>33/137 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medical history — no./total no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>26/138 (19)</td>
<td>11/135 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronary heart disease</td>
<td>59/138 (43)</td>
<td>43/135 (32)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebrovascular disease</td>
<td>11/138 (8)</td>
<td>10/135 (7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NYHA class III or IV†</td>
<td>16/132 (12)</td>
<td>14/130 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location of cardiac arrest — no./total no. (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home</td>
<td>70/138 (51)</td>
<td>69/135 (51)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Public place</td>
<td>53/138 (38)</td>
<td>48/135 (36)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other‡</td>
<td>15/138 (11)</td>
<td>18/135 (13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arrest witnessed — no./total no. (%)§</td>
<td>136/138 (99)</td>
<td>134/137 (98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Presumed cardiac origin of arrest — no./total no. (%)§</td>
<td>135/138 (98)</td>
<td>135/137 (99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventricular fibrillation or pulseless ventricular tachycardia — no./total no. (%)§</td>
<td>132/138 (96)</td>
<td>132/137 (97)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic life support provided by bystander — no./total no. (%)</td>
<td>68/138 (49)</td>
<td>59/137 (43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interval between collapse and restoration of spontaneous circulation — min¶</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interquartile range</td>
<td>17–33</td>
<td>15–28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total epinephrine dose — mg</td>
<td>Median</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interquartile range</td>
<td>1–6</td>
<td>1–5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypotension after resuscitation — no./total no. (%)</td>
<td>68/138 (49)</td>
<td>75/137 (55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsequent nonfatal arrest — no./total no. (%)</td>
<td>11/138 (8)</td>
<td>15/137 (11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thrombolysis after resuscitation — no./total no. (%)</td>
<td>24/133 (18)</td>
<td>27/135 (20)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Data were not available for two patients.
†NYHA denotes New York Heart Association.
‡Other locations included a physician’s office, the workplace, and the hospital.
§Although this was a criterion for inclusion in the study, in a few cases, the initial information was incorrect.
¶Data were not available for three patients in the normothermia group and four in the hypothermia group.
Cooling

In patients randomly assigned to the hypothermia group, the median interval between the restoration of spontaneous circulation and the initiation of cooling was 105 minutes (interquartile range, 61 to 192). The median interval between the restoration of spontaneous circulation and the attainment of a temperature between 32°C and 34°C was 8 hours (interquartile range, 4 to 16). In 19 patients, the target temperature could not be reached. Ice packs were required for 93 of the 132 patients for whom data were available (70 percent). The median duration of cooling was 24 hours (interquartile range, 24 to 25), and among patients in whom the target temperature was reached, it was maintained for a median of 8 hours (interquartile range, 8 to 12). The temperature curves for the normothermia and hypothermia groups are shown in Figure 1.

Outcome at Six Months

A total of 75 of the 136 patients (55 percent) in the hypothermia group had a favorable neurologic outcome, as compared with 54 of the 137 (39 percent) in the normothermia group (risk ratio, 1.40; 95 percent confidence interval, 1.08 to 1.81) (Table 2). To prevent one unfavorable neurologic outcome, 6 patients would need to be treated with hypothermia (95 percent confidence interval, 4 to 25 patients). After adjustment for a history of diabetes mellitus, a history of coronary heart disease, and receipt of basic life support from a bystander, the risk ratio changed only marginally (data not shown). After adjustment for all the base-line variables shown in Table 1, the risk ratio increased slightly, to 1.47 (95 percent confidence interval, 1.09 to 1.82).

The rate of death six months after cardiac arrest was 14 percentage points lower in the hypothermia group than in the normothermia group (risk ratio for the hypothermia group, 0.74 [95 percent confidence interval, 0.58 to 0.95]) (Table 2 and Fig. 2). On the basis of the difference in the risk of death between the two groups, 7 patients would need to be treated with hypothermia (95 percent confidence interval, 4 to 33 patients) to prevent 1 death. After adjustment for base-line differences in the proportions of patients with a history of diabetes mellitus, a history of coronary heart disease, and receipt of basic life support from a bystander, the risk ratio changed only minimally (data not shown). After adjustment for all the base-line variables shown in Table 1, the effect

![Figure 1. Bladder Temperature in the Normothermia and Hypothermia Groups.](image-url)

The T bars indicate the 75th percentile in the normothermia group and the 25th percentile in the hypothermia group. The target temperature in the hypothermia group was 32°C to 34°C, and the duration of cooling was 24 hours. Only patients with recorded temperatures were included in the analysis.
of hypothermia on mortality was slightly stronger (risk ratio, 0.62; 95 percent confidence interval, 0.36 to 0.95).

Most of the patients with unfavorable neurologic outcomes died within six months after discharge from the hospital. In this subgroup of patients, mortality after discharge did not differ significantly according to the assigned treatment (Table 3).

Complications
The proportion of patients with any complication did not differ significantly between the two groups (93 of 132 patients in the normothermia group [70 percent] and 98 of 135 in the hypothermia group [73 percent], P=0.70). Sepsis was more likely to develop in the patients with hypothermia than in those with normothermia, although this difference was not statistically significant (Table 4). The total number of complications was not significantly higher in the hypothermia group than in the normothermia group (P=0.09).

DISCUSSION
Our results show that among patients in whom spontaneous circulation had been restored after cardiac arrest due to ventricular fibrillation, systemic cool-
In contrast to these encouraging findings, a favorable neurologic outcome was similar to that in other studies. In the study by Bernard et al.,\textsuperscript{17} cooling was induced more rapidly (with ice packs) and for a shorter period than in our study. Nevertheless, the results were similar to ours. The neurologic outcome has also been consistently favorable in studies of mild hypothermia in animals.\textsuperscript{31-34} In the pilot studies by Yanagawa et al.\textsuperscript{18} and Nagao et al.,\textsuperscript{19} the frequency of a favorable neurologic outcome was similar to that in our study, although the method and duration of cooling in these studies differed from those in our study. In contrast to these encouraging findings, a study of hypothermia in patients with traumatic brain injury\textsuperscript{35} showed no improvement in the neurologic outcome. The reasons for this discrepancy are thought to include the different pathogenesis of direct central nervous system injury, as well as the late initiation of cooling in some of the patients and variations in intensive care and life support among participating hospitals.\textsuperscript{35,36}

Although the proportions of patients with any complication did not differ significantly between the two treatment groups in our study, a detailed analysis of the complications and an analysis of the total number of complications revealed a trend toward a higher rate of infectious problems in the hypothermia group. Nevertheless, the benefit of hypothermia exceeded its possible adverse effects.

One limitation of our study was the fact that the attending physicians could not be blinded to the treatment assignments. The relative risk may be slightly exaggerated in studies that are not double blind.\textsuperscript{37} Although the outcome was assessed without knowledge of the treatment assignments, we did not verify that the blinding was successful. Even if it was not successful in a few cases, we do not believe that any bias that might have been introduced would have been strong enough to invalidate our findings.

The study population was restricted to a group of patients with a high risk of brain damage because of the specified interval between the patient’s collapse and the first attempt at resuscitation by emergency medical personnel.
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